## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

See form PCT/ISA/220  Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				PCT  WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT (PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)				
				FOR FURTHER ACTION				
International a			International filing date 22.06.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 01.07.2003			
			both national classification	and IPC	100			
B65D79 <i>/</i> 00,	B65D17	7/50	•					
Applicant	VOICA OU	NO TEOLINO	007/110					
JROWN PA	ACKAGII	NG TECHNOL	OGY INC					
			-					
. This op	oinion co	ontains indication	ons relating to the following	lowing items:				
🛭 Вох	No. I	Basis of the op	oinion					
🛭 Вох	No. II	Priority						
□ Вох	No. III	Non-establishr	nent of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inven	tive step and industrial applicability			
⊠ Box	No. V	Reasoned state applicability; cit	tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations	s.1(a)(i) with regard t s supporting such st	o novelty, inventive step or industrial atement			
□ Вох	☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited							
□ Вох	No. VII	Certain defects	s in the international app	olication				
□ Вох	No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	nal application				
FURTH	ER ACTI	ON						
written o	ppinion of icant cho	the Internationa oses an Authori	al Preliminary Examinino	g Authority ("IPEA"). be the IPEA and the	ill usually be considered to be a However, this does not apply where e chosen IPEA has notifed the			
will not b	oe so cor	sidered.		philons of this intern	ational Searching Authority			
If this op submit to months	oe so con pinion is, a po the IPE	isidered. as provided abo A a written reply date of mailing c	ove, considered to be a v	written opinion of the priate, with amendm	e IPEA, the applicant is invited to sents, before the expiration of three on of 22 months from the priority date,			
If this op submit to months to whichev	oe so cor pinion is, to the IPE from the er expire	isidered. as provided abo A a written reply date of mailing c	ove, considered to be a vy together, where appropriate form PCT/ISA/220 or	written opinion of the priate, with amendm	PPEA, the applicant is invited to			
If this op submit to months i whichev	oe so con pinion is, to the IPE from the er expire ter option	as provided abo A a written reply date of mailing o s later. s, see Form PC	ove, considered to be a vy together, where appropriate form PCT/ISA/220 or	written opinion of the priate, with amendm	PPEA, the applicant is invited to			
If this op submit to months i whichev	oe so con pinion is, to the IPE from the er expire ter option	as provided abo A a written reply date of mailing o s later. s, see Form PC	ove, considered to be a vector of the considered to be a vector of Form PCT/ISA/220 or CT/ISA/220.	written opinion of the priate, with amendm	PPEA, the applicant is invited to			
If this op submit to months whichev For furth	pinion is, to the IPE from the er expire the er option the er details	as provided abo A a written reply date of mailing o s later. s, see Form PC s, see notes to F	ove, considered to be a vector of the considered to be a vector of Form PCT/ISA/220 or CT/ISA/220.	written opinion of the priate, with amendm	PPEA, the applicant is invited to			
If this op submit to months whichev For furth	one so consistency of the IPE from the er expire er option er details	as provided abo A a written reply date of mailing o s later. s, see Form PC s, see notes to F	ove, considered to be a vector of the considered to be a vector of Form PCT/ISA/220 or CT/ISA/220.	written opinion of the priate, with amendm before the expiration	PPEA, the applicant is invited to			
If this op submit to months whichev  For furth  For furth	pe so con pinion is, to the IPE from the er expire er option er details ang address	as provided abo A a written reply date of mailing of s later.  s, see Form PC s, see notes to F	ove, considered to be a very together, where appropriate form PCT/ISA/220 or CT/ISA/220.	written opinion of the priate, with amendm before the expiration	PPEA, the applicant is invited to			

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/006723

		LAPON BEN'S DET OTO 92 DEC 2005	
_	Box N	No. I Basis of the opinion	
1.		regard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in nguage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
		this opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With reneces	regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and sarry to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	AVALLADLE
	a. type	e of material:	₹
		a sequence listing	Ź
		table(s) related to the sequence listing nat of material:	0
	b. form	nat of material:	5
		in written format	
		in computer readable form	
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:	
		contained in the international application as filed.	
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.	ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating there as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppropriate, were furnished.	to
4.	Additio	onal comments:	

Bc	ox No. II	Priority	
1. 🛛	The fol	lowing document has not been furnished:	
	⋈	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).	
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).	
	Consec neverth	quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has neless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.	
2. 🗆	has bee	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.	
3. Ad	ditional o	bservations, if necessary:	į
			- (
	x No. V Iustrial a	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or pplicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	_
			-

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-8

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-8

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-8

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

IAP20 Rec'd PCT/770 22 DEC 2005

PCT/EP2004/006723

## Re Item V.

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: WO 03/006329 A (BALL MELVILLE DOUGLAS ;ALCAN INT LTD (CA); HAMSTRA PETER (CA); MOU) 23 January 2003 (2003-01-23)

D2: US 3 160 302 A (CHAPLIN GEORGE F) 8 December 1964 (1964-12-08)

D3: GB 454 429 A (ROMAN BLACE BUKOLT) 29 September 1936 (1936-09-

29)

D4: US 5 804 237 A (SLOCUM RAY G ET AL) 8 September 1998 (1998-09-08)

Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses: a closure for fixing to an open end of a container body, the closure comprising a diaphragm bonded to an annular component, the diaphragm having a centre panel which includes at least one concentric bead such that when the closure is fixed to a container and subjected to pressure differentials, the diaphragm is deflectable outwardly to give an increase in container volume. From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that the profile of the diaphragm beaded panel is selected so that its downward form extends at most to lowest plane of the annular component. This enables the closure to be used where processing using reel and spiral retorts is necessary.

The combination of the features of each of the independent claims 1 and 6 is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art.

- 2.1 The subject-matter of independent claims 1 and 6 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT) and is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 2.3 Claims 2-5, 7 and 8 are dependent claims and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.